UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,502	09/19/2005	Jean-Pierre Catinat	271729US0PCT	5037
	7590 11/19/200 <b>AK, MCCLELLAND</b> 1	Jean-Pierre Catinat  8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MABRY, JOHN	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		PAPER NUMBER
		1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/534,502	CATINAT ET AL.					
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Mabry, PhD	1625					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>John Mabry, PhD</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Richard L. Treanor</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Treanor contacted Examiner to inquire about Applicant's Remarks to Final Rejection dated 7/7/08. Examiner realized that this case had not yet been transferred to Amended docket. Examiner contacted SLIE Brenda Gray and had it transferred to Examiner's amended docket on 11/12/08.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO							
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER	RVIEW. See Summary of Red	cord of Interview	, and the second				